

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

June 3, 2004

277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314TELEPHONE: (703) 836-6400
FACSIMILE: (703) 836-2787
E-MAIL: OGG@OLIFF.COM
WWW.OLIFF.COM

To: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Examiner Hamilton
Group Art Unit 2135

Fax: 703-746-9118

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 03 2004

From: Maryam M. Ipakchi

Your Ref.: 09/836,271 Our Ref.: 109295

Number of Pages Sent (Including cover sheet):

Prepared By: ccs

OFFICIAL**Comments:**

Examiner Hamilton:

As requested, attached hereto is an interview agenda for today's interview. I look forward to meeting with you at 3 p.m.

Thank You

Maryam M. Ipakchi

Sent by: CCS

This facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this facsimile is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or telephone, and return the facsimile to us by mail at the above address.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: <u>09/836,271</u>	First Named Applicant: <u>Susumu Honma</u>	
Examiner: <u>Hamilton</u>	Art Unit: <u>2135</u>	Status of Application: <u>Non-Final Rejection</u>

Tentative Participants:

- (1) Examiner Hamilton (2) Maryam Ipakchi (Applicants' Attorney)
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: June 3, 2004 Proposed Time: 3pm (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

- (1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO
 If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rcjs., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)	1-15	Omniform	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Omniform at least fails to disclose the features of independent claims 1, 6 and 11.

For example, the identified portions of Omniform fail to disclose the 'table extraction means', 'database defining means' and 'data input form generation means' as recited in claim 1.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on N/A

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)